

Design Issues for Peer-to-Peer Massively Multiplayer Online Games

MMVE'09

Lu Fan, Phil Trinder, and Hamish Taylor
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK

Overview

- ❖ Background
- ❖ Design Issues for P2P MMOGs
 - Interest Management
 - Event Dissemination
 - NPC Host Allocation
 - State Persistency
 - Cheating Mitigation
 - Incentives
- ❖ Classification of P2P MMOG Designs
- ❖ Discussion

Background

❖ Conventional MMOG architectures

- Client/Server, e.g.
 - Sony's EverQuest 2
 - Blizzard's World of Warcraft
- Middleware & Service Platforms, e.g.
 - IBM's Butterfly Grid
 - Sun's Game Server technology
- In nature
 - Either dedicated game servers
 - Or shared game server clusters

Background

❖ C/S or Middleware

- Advantages
 - Relatively easy to implement
 - Relatively easy to secure
- Disadvantages
 - Reliability – single failure points
 - Cost
 - Server hardware
 - Network bandwidth
 - Housing & Cooling
 - Electricity & UPS
 - Maintenance staff



Background

❖ Engineering Peer-to-Peer MMOGs

Game server functions:

- Managing players' positions
- Processing game events
- Controlling NPCs
- Maintaining the game world
- Security reinforcement
- Accounting

P2P MMOG design issues:

- Interest Management
- Event Dissemination
- NPC Host Allocation
- State Persistency
- Cheating Mitigation
- Incentives

Design Issues 1: Interest Management

- ❖ Objective: avoid broadcasting game events to all players
- ❖ Approaches:
 - Spatial models
 - Players communicate with nearby objects
 - Objects outside a player's vicinity are ignored
 - E.g. *Voronoi '04, Scalable & Low Delay '05*
 - Region-based models
 - A game world is partitioned into multiple regions
 - A player subscribes to all game events from appropriate regions
 - E.g. *Distributed '04, IM Middleware '05*
 - Hybrid models
 - Partition the game world into regions
 - Select a super-peer in each region to facilitate a spatial model
 - E.g. *MOPAR '05, Meta-Model '06*

Design Issues 1: Interest Management

❖ IM Discussion

- Spatial Models
 - Advantages: fine-grained
 - Drawbacks: communication overhead may be high
 - Suitable for unicast
- Region-based Models
 - Advantages: simple, bandwidth efficient
 - Drawbacks: coarse-grained
 - Suitable for multicast
- Hybrid Models
 - Combines the first two approaches
 - Current implementations ignore load-balancing & fault-tolerance

Design Issues 2: Event Dissemination

❖ Objective: deliver game events quickly and efficiently

❖ Approaches:

- Unicast
 - A player distributes game events to all recipients directly
 - E.g. *Voronoi '04, Scalable & Low Delay '05*
- Application-Level Multicast (ALM)
 - A player distributes game events to a small number of forwarders
 - Forwarders relay events to other peers recursively
 - E.g. *P2P Support '04, P2P Architecture '06*
- Locality-aware ALM
 - Players in the vicinity are used as forwarders
 - Players closer to the source receive events faster
 - E.g. *N-Tree '05, pSense '08*

Design Issues 2: Event Dissemination

❖ Event Dissemination Discussion

- Unicast
 - Advantages: lower communication latency
 - Drawbacks: consumes more bandwidth
 - Can be ameliorated by using fine-grained IM
- General ALM
 - Advantages: bandwidth efficient
 - Drawbacks: typically induce longer latency
- Locality-aware ALM
 - Advantages: bandwidth efficient, exploits tolerance of weak synchronisation
 - Drawbacks: complex, higher computation overhead

Design Issues 3: NPC Host Allocation

- ❖ Objective: host non-player characters (NPCs) on peers
- ❖ Approaches:
 - Region-based
 - Game world is partitioned into regions
 - Each region selects a super-peer to host all NPCs
 - E.g. *Zoned Federation '04, P2P Support '04*
 - Virtual-Distance-based
 - An NPC is hosted by the closest player
 - E.g. *AtoZ '04, Colysues '06, Voronoi State '08*
 - Heterogeneous Task Sharing
 - Share multiplayer NPCs among 'nearby' peers
 - Resource availability & QoS are considered during task allocation
 - E.g. *Deadline-Driven Auctions (DDA) '09*

Design Issues 3: NPC Host Allocation

❖ NPC Host Allocation Discussion

- Region-based
 - Early means of NPC hosting
 - A number of issues: super-peer selection, load-balancing, QoS...
- Virtual-Distance-based
 - Advantages: minimises communication latency & overhead for 1:1 interactions
 - Drawbacks: QoS for 1:N interactions, NPC host switching
- Heterogeneous Task Sharing
 - Advantages: maximises resource utility, reduces latency for 1:N interactions, less NPC host switching
 - Drawbacks: complex, must be combined with 1:1 NPC hosting

Design Issues 4: State Persistence

❖ Objective: store players' profiles between game sessions

❖ Approaches:

- General Storage Infrastructures
 - Large scale persistent data store utilities
 - Mostly designed for P2P file sharing application
 - E.g. *OceanStore '00, PAST '01*
- Special Persistency Mechanisms
 - Classify the data to be stored into multiple categories, e.g. ephemeral & permanent, deal with each category in separate ways
 - Expedite data read/write with caching mechanisms
 - E.g. *Zoned Federation '04, P2P Architecture '06*

Design Issues 4: State Persistence

❖ State Persistence Discussion

- General Storage Infrastructures
 - Advantages: well distributed, highly consistent, secure, scalable, available, and durable.
 - Drawbacks: high redundancy, slow reading & writing
- Special Persistence Mechanisms
 - Advantages: customised for MMOGs, fast reading & writing
 - Drawbacks: complex, immature, less secure
- A major challenge, and potential for further research

Design Issues 5: Cheating Mitigation

❖ Objective: prevent cheating, or detect & remedy suspicious game sessions

❖ Approaches:

- Proactive Mechanisms

- Advanced information exposure protocols that prevent unfair knowledge acquisition, e.g. *Mitigating Information Exposure '05*
- Advanced event ordering protocols that prevent fixed-delay, suppressed update and other cheating, e.g. *NEO '04, SEA '06, EASES '08*

- Reactive Mechanisms

- Referee-based monitoring & log audit, e.g. *LA '05, Cheat Detection '06*
- Mutual monitoring among all the players, e.g. *FreeMMG '04, DaCAP '08*
- Behavioural monitoring for indications of cheating play, e.g. *Detection of Cheating '07*

Design Issues 5: Cheating Mitigation

❖ Cheating Mitigation Discussion

- Proactive Mechanisms
 - Advantages: effective and forceful
 - Drawbacks: applies to specific vulnerabilities, needs to know method of exploitation in advance.
- Reactive Mechanisms
 - Advantages: broad-spectrum
 - Drawbacks: not so rigorous
- Crucial for justifying P2P MMOGs' practicality
- An active research field starting to bear fruit – many new mechanisms proposed in the last couple of years!

Design Issues 6: Incentive Mechanism

- ❖ Objective: persuade participants to contribute resources to the MMOG
- ❖ Approaches:
 - Accounting Systems
 - Credit – record players historical contribution
 - Debit – entitle all player to roughly equivalent resources, e.g. *DCRC '03, DDA Incentive Model '09*
 - Reputation Systems
 - Mutual-rating-based trustworthiness aggregation algorithms
 - Anonymous-request-based honesty measurement algorithms
 - e.g. *Local Reputation '07, Proactive Reputation '08, REPS '08*

Design Issues 6: Incentive Mechanism

❖ Incentive Discussion

- P2P systems are voluntary resource sharing systems.
- Individual concerns vs. collective welfare
- Require both:
 - Accounting
 - To quantify resource contribution & consumption
 - To identify selfish participants
 - To facilitate reciprocity
 - Reputation
 - To evaluate participants' honesty & dependability
 - To discourage disadvantageous behaviours
 - To reinforce the accounting mechanism

Classification of P2P MMOG Designs

P2P MMOG Architectures	Interest Management	Event Dissemination	NPC Host Allocation	State Persistency	Incentive Mechanism	Overall Evaluation
[43] P2P Support '04	Region-based	ALM	Region-based	None	None	Simple
[16] Distributed '04	Region-based	Unicast	Distance-based	Distributed	None	Moderate
[18] OPeN '05	Aura-Nimbus	Unicast	None	Centralised	None	Moderate
[28] P2P Arch '06	Region-based	ALM	None	PAST	None	Simple
[30] VAST '07	Voronoi	Unicast	Distance-based	Centralised	REPS	Complete
[20] Mediator '07	Hybrid	Unicast	Task Sharing	PAST	DCRC	Complete

❖ P2P Support '04

- ☹ Partitions game world into large regions to apply coarse-grained IM
- ☹ Disseminates game events using Scribe ALM
- ☹ Hosts all NPCs in a region using a single super-peer
- ☹ No game state persistence
- ☹ No incentive mechanisms
- ☺ A prototype application “SimMud” has been implemented

Classification of P2P MMOG Designs

P2P MMOG Architectures	Interest Management	Event Dissemination	NPC Host Allocation	State Persistency	Incentive Mechanism	Overall Evaluation
[43] P2P Support '04	Region-based	ALM	Region-based	None	None	Simple
[16] Distributed '04	Region-based	Unicast	Distance-based	Distributed	None	Moderate
[18] OPeN '05	Aura-Nimbus	Unicast	None	Centralised	None	Moderate
[28] P2P Arch '06	Region-based	ALM	None	PAST	None	Simple
[30] VAST '07	Voronoi	Unicast	Distance-based	Centralised	REPS	Complete
[20] Mediator '07	Hybrid	Unicast	Task Sharing	PAST	DCRC	Complete

❖ Distributed '04

- 😊 Partitions game world into small regions & applies a hierarchical IM
- 😊 Disseminates game events via unicast
- 😐 Supports a simple distance-based NPC host allocation mechanism
- 😐 Suggests a special game state persistency mechanism
- 😞 No incentive mechanisms
- 😞 No demonstration application

Classification of P2P MMOG Designs

P2P MMOG Architectures	Interest Management	Event Dissemination	NPC Host Allocation	State Persistency	Incentive Mechanism	Overall Evaluation
[43] P2P Support '04	Region-based	ALM	Region-based	None	None	Simple
[16] Distributed '04	Region-based	Unicast	Distance-based	Distributed	None	Moderate
[18] OPeN '05	Aura-Nimbus	Unicast	None	Centralised	None	Moderate
[28] P2P Arch '06	Region-based	ALM	None	PAST	None	Simple
[30] VAST '07	Voronoi	Unicast	Distance-based	Centralised	REPS	Complete
[20] Mediator '07	Hybrid	Unicast	Task Sharing	PAST	DCRC	Complete

❖ OPeN '05

- 😊 Supports fine-grained IM using a novel spatial data index service
- 😊 Disseminates game events via unicast
- 😞 NPC host allocation is undefined
- 😞 Stores players' profiles using a centralised database
- 😞 No incentive mechanisms
- 😊 A simple P2P MMOG application has been implemented

Classification of P2P MMOG Designs

P2P MMOG Architectures	Interest Management	Event Dissemination	NPC Host Allocation	State Persistency	Incentive Mechanism	Overall Evaluation
[43] P2P Support '04	Region-based	ALM	Region-based	None	None	Simple
[16] Distributed '04	Region-based	Unicast	Distance-based	Distributed	None	Moderate
[18] OPeN '05	Aura-Nimbus	Unicast	None	Centralised	None	Moderate
[28] P2P Arch '06	Region-based	ALM	None	PAST	None	Simple
[30] VAST '07	Voronoi	Unicast	Distance-based	Centralised	REPS	Complete
[20] Mediator '07	Hybrid	Unicast	Task Sharing	PAST	DCRC	Complete

❖ P2P Arch '06

- ☹ Partitions game world into large regions to apply coarse-grained IM
- ☹ Disseminates game events using Scribe ALM
- ☹ No NPC host allocation
- ☹ Stores players' data in a distributed way using PAST
- ☹ No incentive mechanism
- ☹ No demonstration application

Classification of P2P MMOG Designs

P2P MMOG Architectures	Interest Management	Event Dissemination	NPC Host Allocation	State Persistency	Incentive Mechanism	Overall Evaluation
[43] P2P Support '04	Region-based	ALM	Region-based	None	None	Simple
[16] Distributed '04	Region-based	Unicast	Distance-based	Distributed	None	Moderate
[18] OPeN '05	Aura-Nimbus	Unicast	None	Centralised	None	Moderate
[28] P2P Arch '06	Region-based	ALM	None	PAST	None	Simple
[30] VAST '07	Voronoi	Unicast	Distance-based	Centralised	REPS	Complete
[20] Mediator '07	Hybrid	Unicast	Task Sharing	PAST	DCRC	Complete

❖ VAST '07

- ☺ Proposes a remarkable Voronoi assisted fine-grained IM mechanism
- ☺ Disseminates game events via unicast
- ☺ Proposes a good distance-based NPC host allocation mechanism
- ☺ Suggests storing players' data using centralised game servers
- ☺ Proposes a novel mutual-rating-based reputation system
- ☺ A prototype application "ASCEND" has been implemented

Classification of P2P MMOG Designs

P2P MMOG Architectures	Interest Management	Event Dissemination	NPC Host Allocation	State Persistency	Incentive Mechanism	Overall Evaluation
[43] P2P Support '04	Region-based	ALM	Region-based	None	None	Simple
[16] Distributed '04	Region-based	Unicast	Distance-based	Distributed	None	Moderate
[18] OPeN '05	Aura-Nimbus	Unicast	None	Centralised	None	Moderate
[28] P2P Arch '06	Region-based	ALM	None	PAST	None	Simple
[30] VAST '07	Voronoi	Unicast	Distance-based	Centralised	REPS	Complete
[20] Mediator '07	Hybrid	Unicast	Task Sharing	PAST	DCRC	Complete

❖ Mediator '07

- ☺ Adopts a MOPAR-like hybrid IM scheme
- ☺ Disseminates game events via unicast
- ☺ Proposes a novel heterogeneous task sharing infrastructure
- ☺ Supports game state persistency with PAST
- ☺ Supports a native accounting mechanism that is similar to DCRC
- ☺ Key components & a test-bed application have been implemented

Discussion

❖ Conclusions

- Classical C/S architectures suffer from various drawbacks
- We articulate a set of six design issues for P2P MMOGs
- We present design alternatives & discuss their implications
- We classify & compare representative P2P MMOG designs
- P2P MMOG architecture are improving rapidly

❖ Future Work

- To refine the Mediator framework & DDA infrastructure
- To evaluate Mediator MMOG prototype

Thank you for your attention!

Q & A

Lu Fan lf16@hw.ac.uk

Phil Trinder p.w.trinder@hw.ac.uk

Hamish Taylor h.taylor@hw.ac.uk

School of Mathematical and Computer Sciences

Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK